

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/540,510		SCHLITTER ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	MICHAEL PEPITONE		1796		

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL PEPITONE

(2) Georg Hasselmann

Date of Interview: 28 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 5; specifically addition of commas in claim 1, and the values for x in claim 5 were discussed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark Eashoo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)